

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.msplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/902,651 | 07/12/2001 | Hiroyuki Nakane | 77670/495 | 2816 |
| King L. Wong | 7590 11/05/200 Fso | 9 | EXAM | IINER |
| Kenyon & Kenyon LLP | | | STEADMAN, DAVID J | |
| 1500 K St. N.\ Suite 700 | W. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Washington, DC 20005 | | | 1656 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/05/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/902,651 | NAKANE ET AL. | | | |
| Examiner-initiated interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | David J. Steadman | 1656 | | | |
| Il Participants: Status of Application: RCE filed | | | | | |
| (1) <u>David J. Steadman</u> . | (3) | | | | |
| (2) King L. Wong. | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 3 November 2009 | Time: approximately 9:15 A | <u>AM</u> | | | |
| Type of Interview: □ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicat □ Applicat □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicat □ Applicat □ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: 4, 7, 16 | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE A voice mail message was left with Mr. Wong, presenting an ext homodimer" with "forms a", to insert "at 80 oc" following "more to 9/22/05, and to replace "1 to 4," in claim 16 with "1, 3, 4,". Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Th of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | miner's amendment to claim 4 to I nermostable" in claim 7 as agreed record of the substance of the e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the | replace "is a" in the to in the interview s interview, since the en summary of the interview, since the | summary filed he interview e substance | | |
| /David J. Steadman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656 | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if a | ppropriate) | | |